arXiv:1304.7063v2 [math-ph] 19 May 2013

Factorization of Darboux Transformations of Arbitrary Order for Two-dimensional Schrödinger operator

Ekaterina Shemyakova

May 21, 2013

Abstract

We prove that a Darboux transformation of arbitrary order d for two-dimensional Schrödinger operator can be factored into Darboux transformations of order 1.

Even for the special case of Darboux transformations of order 2 this problem is hard. For this case we have found earlier a rather beautiful proof based on the invariantization (we used regularized moving frames due to Olver and Pohjanpelto).

The analogous statement for one-dimensional Schrödinger operator was proved in four steps (Shabat, Veselov and Bagrov, Samsonov). In this case the factorization is not unique, and different factorizations imply discrete symmetries related to the Yang-Baxter maps (Adler and Veselov).

The main result of the present paper implies that a Darboux transformation of arbitrary form and of arbitrary order d is invertible only in the case where it is a Laplace chain.

1 Introduction

Nowadays the theory of exactly solvable one-dimensional and two-dimensional Schrödinger operators is inseparable from the theory of one- and two-dimensional integrable non-linear systems and the theory of Darboux-Bäcklund transformations (the soliton theory) [MS91, Cie09]. Darboux transformations are defined as follows. Operator L transforms into operator L_1 of the same form but with possibly different coefficients ($L \xrightarrow{M} L_1$) if for some linear partial differential operator N we have

$$NL = L_1 M \ . \tag{1}$$

The order of a Darboux transformation as the order of operator M. Note that for one-dimensional Schrödinger operator, one can show that $N = M$.

A composition of some number of Darboux transformations defined by some M_i, $i = 1, \dots, n$ results in a Darboux transformation defined by M of a more complicated form. The reversed statement is not true: a factorization $M =$

$M_1 \dots M_n$ is necessary but not sufficient for factorization of the corresponding Darboux transformation (a factorization of a Darboux transformation means a representation of this Darboux transformation as consecutive application of Darboux transformations of some simpler form).

For one-dimensional Schrödinger operator, which on $\mathbb{R}^1$ has the form

$$L = -\partial_x^2 + U(x) \ ,$$

(here $U(x)$ is the potential) the statement about factorization of a Darboux transformation into Darboux transformations of first order has been proved in four steps. First, it has been proved [VS93] (Theorem 5) in the special case when application of Darboux transformations leads to operators L_1 with the potential is different from $U(x)$ by a constant. Couple of years later, it has been proved [Sha95] in the special case when the Darboux transformation has order two. Then the statement has been proved [BS95] for the arbitrary potentials. The authors of the latter have also published follow-up paper [BS97] (Sec.3) filling in some gaps of their previous proof. For one-dimensional Schrödinger operator, it has been shown that a factorization of a Darboux transformation into elementary Darboux transformations is not unique and different factorizations of the same Darboux transformation implies discrete symmetries of the dressing chain, and that those symmetries are related to the Yang-Baxter maps [Adl93, Ves03].

Darboux's book [Dar89] creates a feeling of certainty that for a two-dimensional Schrödinger operator, which on the Euclidean plane $\mathbb{R}^2$ has the form

$$L = \left(\bar{\partial} + b(x,y)\right)\left(\partial + a(x,y)\right) + 2V(x,y) \ , \tag{2}$$

where $\partial = \partial_x - i\partial_y$, a Darboux transformation of some high order can factored into Darboux transformations of order one too. However, though the problem is significantly harder than the one for one-dimensional Schrödinger operator, no proof, idea for a proof, or even a statement about this can be found in the book.

In the present paper we prove that for a two-dimensional Schrödinger operator a Darboux transformation of some high order d can factored into Darboux transformations of order one. We note that even the problem for the special case of Darboux transformations of order two is hard, and has been solved in two steps [She12, She13b].

The new result implies that a for a two-dimensional Schrödinger operator Darboux transformation generated by M of arbitrary form and of arbitrary order is invertible if and only if it is a composition of Laplace transformations (in this case the Darboux transformation is a Laplace chain). We note that for an arbitrary operator L invertible Darboux transformations is not so rare situation. Some criteria for those have been obtained in [She13a].

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. 2 we outline some few notion and results of the theory of Darboux transformations. We consider Schrödinger operator in the form (4) and remind the result of a previous paper stating that studying Darboux transformations it is enough to consider cases when M

contains only operators deriving with respect to variable x (see (11)). The main result of the paper, Theorem 5.2 can be found in Sec. 5. The proof is split into two parts.

In Sec. 3 we consider the case when operators L and M have a common element in their kernels. We show that this common element ψ generates a right factor M_ψ of M; thus,

$$M = M' M_\psi$$

for some M'. Then we show that each of M' and M_ψ generates some Darboux transformation, and

$$L \xrightarrow{M_\psi} L_\psi \xrightarrow{M'} L_1 \ .$$

In Sec. 4 we consider the case when operators L and M have no common elements in their kernels. In this situation we discovered interesting algebraic structures (here they serve as a tool for the proof of the main result, but we hope that a more general framework can be found for such structures). Thus, we have two mappings from $\ker M$ to $\ker N$ and then we construct a linear operator A from $\ker N$ to itself:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
L : \ker M \arrow[r] & \ker N \arrow[d, "A"] \\
M_y : \ker M \arrow[r] & \ker N
\end{tikzcd}$$

To construct this linear operator we prove (Lemma), that since $\ker L \cap \ker M = \{0\}$, then one can choose a basis $\{z_i\}$, $i = 1, \dots, d$ over $C(y)$ in $\ker M$, such that $u_i = L(z_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, d$ form a basis in $\ker N$. Then we can define a $C(y)$-linear operator A by its action on basis vectors u_i:

$$A(u_i) = v_i \ , \ i = 1, \dots, d \ ,$$

that is

$$\begin{tikzcd}
L : \quad z_i \arrow[r] & u_i \arrow[d, "A"] \\
M_y : \quad z_i \arrow[r] & v_i
\end{tikzcd}$$

Then we show that the matrix of operator A is degenerate, from which it can be derived that $\ker M \cap \ker M_y$ contains at least one non-zero element. Basing on this we prove that

$$M = M' \cdot M_y \ ,$$

and that

$$L \xrightarrow{M_y} L_{-1} \xrightarrow{M'} L_1 \ .$$

In Sec. 5 we formulate the main consequence of the theorems that are proved in the present paper, namely, that for two dimensional Schrodinger operator (2) a Darboux transformation can be represented as consecutive application of first-order Darboux transformations.

2 Laplace and Darboux transformations

The general two-dimensional Schrödinger operator, which on the Euclidean plane $\mathbb{R}^2$ has the form

$$\begin{aligned} L &= \left(\bar{\partial} + b\right)(\partial + a) + 2V \ , \\ &= (\partial + a)\left(\bar{\partial} + b\right) + 2U \ . \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

where a, b, V, U are functions of x and y, and $\partial = \partial_x - i\partial_y$, $z = x + iy$. Here V is the electric potential, and $H = U - V$ is the magnetic field. The coefficients of operator L are defined by these quantities up to gauge transformations

$$L \to e^{-f} L e^{f} \ ,$$

where $f(x, y)$ is a smooth function. Darboux transformations for two-dimensional Schrödinger operator have been intensively studied, see, for example [GMN10, NV97, Tsa00] and references therein.

For brevity of notation, we shall consider the system of coordinates (x, y), where two-dimensional Schrödinger operator (3) has the form

$$L = \partial_x \partial_y + a\partial_x + b\partial_y + c \ , \tag{4}$$

where a, b, c are functions of x and y.

It is easy to establish that for a given operator L, not every M would lead to a Darboux transformation. Substituting explicit expressions for M and L into (1), and comparing corresponding coefficients we have a linear algebraic system of equations on the coefficients of L_1 and N. In the general case, the number of the equations in such a system is $\frac{(d+2)(d+3)}{2}$ and the number of unknowns is $3 + \frac{(d+1)(d+2)}{2}$, which is smaller. And, indeed, there are numerous counter examples. For instance, take $L = \partial_x \partial_y + 1$ and $M = \partial_x + x$. It is also easy to see that for a given operator L and M, if a Darboux transformation exists it is not necessarily unique. However, one can [Dar89] construct a Darboux transformation for a given operator L, whenever one has a non-zero $\psi \in \ker L$. In this case each of the operators

$$M_\psi = \partial_x - \psi_x \psi^{-1} \ , \tag{5}$$

$$M_\psi = \partial_y - \psi_y \psi^{-1} \ , \tag{6}$$

defines a Darboux transformation for L. Obviously, a composition of Darboux transformations is again a Darboux transformation with operators M and N of higher order. If $m + n$ linearly independent and non-zero $\psi_1, \ldots, \psi_{m+n} \in \ker L$ then operator M given by

$$M(\psi) = (-1)^{n+m} \frac{W_{m,n}(\psi, \psi_1, \ldots, \psi_{m+n})}{W_{m-1,n}(\psi_1, \ldots, \psi_{m+n})} \tag{7}$$

defines a Darboux transformation for L. Here, given functions $f_1, \dots, f_{t+s}$ then

$$W_{t,s}(f, f_1, \dots, f_{t+s}) = \begin{vmatrix} f & \partial_x f & \dots & \partial_x^t f & \partial_y f & \dots & \partial_y^s f \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ f_{t+s} & \partial_x f_{t+s} & \dots & \partial_x^t f_{t+s} & \partial_y f_{t+s} & \dots & \partial_y^s f_{t+s} \end{vmatrix} \tag{8}$$

is the $n \times n$ determinant, a (t, s) Wronkian, where $t+s = n-1$. The constructed in such the way Darboux transformation is of order $n-1$. The same formulas works for construction Darboux transformations for operators of the form $\partial_x \partial_x + a\partial_x + b\partial_y + c$, where a, b, c are functions of x and y [TS09]; and for Darboux transformations for twisted derivation D satisfying $D(AB) = D(A) + \sigma(A)B$, where σ is a homomorphism is considered (with the only difference that quasideterminants are considered instead of usual determinants) [LN10].

There are also two Darboux transformations of first-order that cannot be represented as neither (5) nor (6). They are known as *Laplace transformations* and are defined by

$$M_y = \partial_x + b \ , \qquad M_x = \partial_y + a \ .$$

The first transformation requires the *Laplace invariant*

$$k = b_y + ab - c \tag{9}$$

to be not zero, while the second one requires the *Laplace invariant*

$$h = a_x + ab - c \tag{10}$$

to be non-zero. Applying the Laplace transformation defined by M_x to L one obtains some $L_1 = \partial_x\partial_y + a_1\partial_x + b_1\partial_y + c_1$. If we then apply the Laplace transformation defined by $M'_y = \partial_x + b_1$ to L_1 we obtain operator $\widetilde{L}$ of the same gauge class as the initial operator:

$$L = h^{-1}\widetilde{L}h \ .$$

Hence, for an operator L with $h \neq 0$ and $k \neq 0$ we have only two *chains of Laplace transformation*: the one generated by application of "M_y kind" of transformations only, and the one generated by application of "M_x kind" of transformations only.

Laplace transformations are widely used in the soliton theory. See, for example, [NV97]. Darboux transformations other than Laplace ones are rarely used so far. However, they are starting to be used in some real applications. For example, new Darboux transformations have been being constructed in the rapidly developing theory of rogue waves [ACA10, ASCA10]. One of the possible reasons that Laplace transformations are so much more often chosen over Darboux ones in possibly the fact that they are the only two Darboux transformations of two-dimensional operator Schrödinger operator that are invertible (this fact is the consequence of the main result of the present paper and of the results of [She13a] which studies invertible Darboux transformations for bivariate linear partial differential operators or arbitrary order d).

In this paper we follow algebraic approach introducing some differential field K of characteristic zero with commuting derivations ∂_x, ∂_y. Then $K[\partial_x, \partial_y]$ be the corresponding ring of linear partial differential operators over K. One can either assume the field K to be differentially closed, or simply assume that K contains the solutions of those partial differential equations that we encounter on the way. Let $f \in K$, and $L \in K[\partial_x, \partial_y]$; by Lf we denote the composition of operator L with the operator of multiplication by a function f, while $L(f)$ mean the application of operator L to f. For example, $\partial_x f = f\partial_x + f_x \in K[\partial_x, \partial_y]$, $\partial_x(f) = f_x \in K$. The second lower index attached to a symbol denoting a function means the derivative of that function with respect to the variables listed there. For example, $f_{1,xyy} = \partial_x \partial_x \partial_y f_1$.

If a Darboux transformation exists for a pair (L, M) then some Darboux transformation exists for the pair $(e^{-f}Le^f, e^{-f}Me^f)$ too (can be proved by direct computations). Here $f \in K$. Therefore, there is no need to distinguish between Darboux transformations of the same gauge equivalence class.

Remark 2.1. If a Darboux transformation exists for a pair (L, M), where L is of the form (4) then there is a Darboux transformation for pair (L, M'), where

$$M' = \sum_{i=0}^{d} m_i \partial_x^i \ . \tag{11}$$

A proof of this fact is given in [She13b]. It is based on two facts. Denote by m the maximum degree of ∂_x in M and by n the maximum degree of ∂_y in M. We say that (m, n) is the bi-degree of M. Then the composition of the given Darboux transformation with Laplace transformation with M_y results in new Darboux transformation with some new M' of bi-degree $(m-1, n+1)$. While the composition of the given Darboux transformation with Laplace transformation with M_x results in new Darboux transformation with some new M' of bi-degree $(m+1, n-1)$. The second fact is that if a Darboux transformation exists for a pair (L, M), then some Darboux transformation exists for the pair

$$(L, M + AL)$$

(*expansion of a Darboux transformation*). This allows us to cancel all the mixed derivatives in M. Thus, proving a statement about Darboux transformation for some pair (L, M), where L is of the form (4) and $M \in K[\partial_x, \partial_y]$ is of arbitrary order d, it is enough to consider the case where M is of the form (11).

3 One Common Solution

Theorem 3.1. *Let*

$$M = \sum_{i=0}^{d} m_i \partial_x^i \ , \tag{12}$$

$m_i \in K$, *and* $\psi \in \ker M$. *Then for some* $M_1 \in K[D]$

$$M = M_1 \cdot \left(\partial_x - \psi_x \psi^{-1}\right) \ .$$

Proof. Using right differential analogue of Horner's scheme we can represent M as $M = M_1 \cdot \left(\partial_x - \psi_x\psi^{-1}\right) + r$, where $r \in K$. Then $0 = M(\psi) = M_1(\psi_x - \psi_x) + r\psi$, and then $r = 0$. □

Theorem 3.2. *[There is a common soluton] Let for operator*

$$L = \partial_x\partial_y + a\partial_x + b\partial_y + c \ ,$$

where $a, b, c \in K$, there be a Darboux transformation $L \xrightarrow{M} L_1$ generated by (12) *of arbitrary order d. Let*

$$\psi \in \ker L \cap \ker M \setminus \{0\} \ .$$

Then this Darboux transformation can be represented as a consecutive application of two Darboux transformations of lower orders:

$$L \xrightarrow{M_\psi} L_\psi \xrightarrow{M'} L_1 \ ,$$

where $M_\psi = D_\psi - \psi_x\psi^{-1}$, and $L_\psi, M' \in K[D]$.

Proof. There is a Darboux transformation $L \xrightarrow{M} L_1$ generated by (12), thus, there is some $N = n_0 + n_1\partial_x + \cdots + n_k\partial_x^k$ that $NL = L_1M$. Let $L_1 = D_{xy} + a_1\partial_x + b_1\partial_y + c_1$. Since $M(\psi) = 0$ then by Theorem 3.1, there exists such operator $M' \in K[D]$ that

$$M = M'M_\psi \tag{13}$$

for $M_\psi = \partial_x - \psi_x\psi^{-1}$. By direct computation one can show that there exists the corresponding Darboux transformation $L \xrightarrow{M_\psi} L_\psi$ defined by the operator equality

$$N_\psi L = L_\psi M_\psi \ . \tag{14}$$

Note that this Darboux transformation cannot be Laplace transformation since it has been proved in [She12] that Laplace transformations are (the only) Darboux transformations of order one that cannot be obtained using Wronskian-like formulas (7).

To prove the statement of the theorem, we need to prove now that operator M' generates a Darboux transformation for L_ψ, and that the result of this transformation is L_1. That is we need to prove that there exists such operator $N' \in K[D]$ that $N'L_\psi = L_1M'$. In other words, we need to show that operator L_1M' can be written in the form $N'L_\psi$ for some N'. We can represent L_1M' as

$$L_1M' = N'L_\psi + R \ , \tag{15}$$

where the most general form for R is

$$R = r_t\partial_x^t + \cdots + r_1\partial_x + q\partial_y + r \ , \ t \le d \ , \tag{16}$$

where the coefficients belong to K. This form for R can be indeed reached. First, neither N', nor M' contain any terms with ∂_y, and the only terms with

∂_y that L_1 and L_ψ contain are $\partial_x\partial_y$ and ∂_y. Thus, R cannot contain any term involving ∂_y^k, where $k \geq 2$. Secondly, we can always find such R that does not contain mixed derivatives because if we have such R that satisfy (15) but does contain mixed derivatives, we can divide it from the left by L_ψ until we have R without mixed derivatives. Thirdly, the degree of ∂_x involved in R can be maximum d because $\operatorname{ord} M' = d - 1$.

Now we manipulate the obtained equalities:

$$\begin{aligned} N'N_\psi L &= N'L_\psi M_\psi && \text{(multiply the equality (14) by } N' \text{on the left)} \\ &= (L_1 M' - R)M_\psi && \text{(use (15))} \\ &= L_1 M' M_\psi - RM_\psi && \\ &= L_1 M - RM_\psi && \text{(use (13))} \\ &= NL - RM_\psi && \text{(use (1))} \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$RM_\psi = (N - N'N_\psi)L \ . \tag{17}$$

First, notice that $S = N - N'N_\psi$ cannot contain terms involving ∂_y as neither N, nor N', N_ψ contain any terms involving ∂_y.

Secondly, let $\operatorname{ord} S = w$. That is w be the largest number so that there be a term involving ∂_x^k in S. Then operator $(N - N'N_\psi)L$ on the right hand side of (17) contains $\partial_x^{w+1}\partial_y$ with some non-zero coefficient. On the other hand the only terms involving ∂_y that RM_ψ on the left hand side of (17) may contain have the form $\partial_x\partial_y$ or ∂_y (with some coefficients). This implies that $w = 0$. Therefore, S is an element (a function) in K.

If S is not zero, then (17) implies a factorization of L :

$$\alpha \cdot RM_\psi = L \ , \tag{18}$$

and thus

$$M_\psi = D_x + b \ ,$$

which means that the corresponding Darboux transformation (defined by (14)) is a Laplace transformation, which is impossible. Therefore, S is zero, and thus, operator R is an operator of multiplication by zero too. □

Naturally, analogous to Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 statements can be proved for ∂_y and $h \neq 0$. This means that the following statement will be true.

Theorem 3.3. *Let for operator*

$$L = \partial_x\partial_y + a\partial_x + b\partial_y + c \ ,$$

where $a, b, c \in K$, there be a Darboux transformation $L \xrightarrow{M} L_1$ generated by (12) *of arbitrary order d, then it can be represented as a consecutive application of Darboux transformations of order one except for possibly the last one, which is either of first order too, or the result of this last transformation is an operators of the form $\partial_x\partial_y$.*

Proof. At every step for the current L either $h \neq 0$ or $k \neq 0$. Then we apply Theorem 3.2 or its analogue for $h \neq 0$. □

4 No Common Solutions

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\psi \in K$ and $f = f(y) \in K$, then for operator L of the form* (4)

$$L(f(y)\psi) = f(y)L(\psi) + f'(y)(\psi_x + b\psi) \ .$$

Proof. The statement can be verified by direct computations. ☐

Theorem 4.2. *Let operator L of the form* (4) *has a factorization. Then* $\ker L \cap \ker M \neq \{0\}$ *for the both*

$$M = \sum_{i=0}^{d} m_i \partial_x^i \ , \quad M = \sum_{i=0}^{d} m_i \partial_y^i \ , \tag{19}$$

where $m_i \in K$, $i = 0, \dots, d$.

Proof. If L of the form (4) has a factorization, then in some suitable coordinates

$$L = D_x D_y \ .$$

Then, in particular, all functions $f(x)$ and $g(y)$ in K are elements of $\ker L$. On the other hand, the change of coordinates used above would not change the form of the operator M. As an ordinary differential operator the latter must have some non-zero element in its kernel depending on x or on y only. ☐

Theorem 4.3 (No common solutions)**.** *Let for operator*

$$L = \partial_x \partial_y + a\partial_x + b\partial_y + c \ ,$$

where $a, b, c \in K$, there be a Darboux transformation of L generated by

$$M = \sum_{i=0}^{d} m_i \partial_x^i \ . \tag{20}$$

Let

$$\ker L \cap \ker M = \{0\} \ ,$$

then the Darboux transformation can be represented as consecutive application of the Laplace transformation generated by $M_y = \partial_x + b$ and some Darboux transformation of order $d - 1$.

Proof. Let given Darboux transformation be defined by equality

$$N \cdot L = L_1 \cdot M \ , \tag{21}$$

where operators L_1, N are of the form

$$\begin{aligned} L_1 &= D_{xy} + a_1\partial_x + b_1\partial_y + c_1 \ , \\ N &= n_0 + n_1\partial_x + \dots + n_d\partial_x^d \ , \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

To prove the statement of the theorem, it is enough to prove that this Darboux transformation can be represented as a consecutive application of two Darboux transformations of smaller order: a Laplace transformation defined by equality

$$N_y L = L_{-1} M_y \ , \tag{23}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} N_y &= \partial_x + b - \frac{k_x}{k} \ , \\ M_y &= \partial_x + b \ , \end{aligned}$$

where k is one of the Laplace invariants (see (9)) and some Darboux transformation defined by equality

$$N' L_{-1} = L_1 M' \ .$$

Notice that in this case

$$M = M' M_y \ , \quad N = N' N_y \ .$$

First of all, we notice that a Laplace transformation defined by (23) exists. Indeed, $\ker L \cap \ker M = \{0\}$ and Theorem 4.2 implies that operator L has no factorizations, and hence, Laplace invariant k is not zero.

Lemma 4.4. *Operator M_y defines a $C(y)$-linear mapping of (finite-dimentional) vector spaces:*

$$\ker M \to \ker N : \quad \psi \mapsto M_y(\psi) \ . \tag{24}$$

Proof. We shall construct the mapping explicitely. Let $z \in \ker M$. Then equality (21) implies that $L(z) \in \ker N$. Since $M \in K[\partial_x]$, then $(f(y)z) \in \ker M$, and $L(f(y)z) \in \ker N$. Since $N \in K[\partial_x]$, $f(y)L(z)$ must belong to $\ker N$ too.

On the other hand, by Theorem 4.1 for arbitrary function $f(y) \in K$ we have $L(f(y)z) = f(y)L(z) + f'(y)M_y(z)$. Thus, $f'(y)M_y(z) \in \ker M$. Since $f'(y)$ is a function depending on y only, and M is an operator in $K[\partial_x]$, then $M_y(z) \in \ker M$. Thus we have constructed the mapping (24) explicitely.

It is $C(y)$-linear by construction. □

Thus, we have the following two mappings.

$$L : \ker M \quad \longrightarrow \quad \ker N \tag{25}$$

$$M_y : \ker M \quad \longrightarrow \quad \ker N \tag{26}$$

According to Lemma 4.4 mapping (26) is $C(y)$-linear. While mapping (25) is (in the general case) only C-linear. However, it has another important property due to the fact that its kernel contains only zero.

Consider $\ker M$, the solution space of the ordinary linear partial differential operator of order d. It has some $C(y)$-basis $\{z_1, \dots, z_d\}$.

Lemma 4.5. *One can choose a basis* $\{z_i\}$*,* $i = 1, \ldots, d$ *over* $C(y)$ *in* $\ker M$*, such that* $u_i = L(z_i)$*,* $i = 1, \ldots, d$ *form a basis in* $\ker N$*.*

Proof. We shall construct such $\{z_i\}$, $i = 1, \ldots, d$ by induction.

Choose arbitrarily non-zero $z_1 \in \ker M$. Since the kernel of mapping (25) is $\ker L \cap \ker M$ which is $\{0\}$ by the condition of the theorem, then u_1 is non-zero too, and the vector space $< u_1 >$ over $C(y)$ generated by u_1 is one-dimensional.

Choose arbitrarily linearly independent with z_1 (over $C(y)$) non-zero vector $z_2 \in \ker M$. If u_2 is linearly independent with u_2, then we proceed with construction of vector u_3. If $u_2 = \lambda(y)u_1$, $\lambda(y) \neq 0$ then consider also $v_2 = M_y(z_2)$. There are two cases possible.

1. Vector v_2 is linearly independent (over $C(y)$) with u_1. Consider $\widetilde{z}_2 = z_1 + yz_2$. Then using Theorem 4.1 we have

   $$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{u}_2 = L(z_1 + yz_2) &= L(z_1) + yL(z_2) + M_y(z_2)\\ &= u_1 + yu_2 + v_2 \ .\end{aligned}$$

   Thus, the vector space $< u_1, \widetilde{u}_2 >$ over $C(y)$ is two-dimensional.

2. Vector v_2 is linearly independent with u_1: $v_2 = \mu(y)u_1$. Using Theorem 4.1 compute

   $$\begin{aligned}L(z_1 + f_2(y)z_2) &= L(z_1) + f_2 L(z_2) + f_2'(y)M_y(z_2)\\ &= u_1 + f_2 u_2 + f_2'(y)v_2\\ &= u_1 + f_2\lambda(y)u_1 + f_2'(y)\mu(y)u_1\\ &= (1 + f_2\lambda(y) + f_2'(y)\mu(y))u_1 \ .\end{aligned}$$

   Solving an ordinary differential equation of first order, find $f_2(y) \neq 0$ that makes the multiple term in front of u_1 equaled to zero. Then $z_1 + f_2(y)z_2 \neq 0$, which implies a contradiction with the kernel of mapping (25) being $\{0\}$. Thus, this case is not possible.

   Notice that almost the same argument works for the case $v_2 = 0$ too. In this case $\mu(y) = 0$.

Since the dimensions of vector spaces (over $C(y)$) $\ker M$ and $\ker N$ are the same, we can guarantee this process can be continued until the desired basis is constructed. □

Using Lemma 4.5 we may assume that $\{z_i\}$, $i = 1, \ldots, d$ is a basis over $C(y)$ in $\ker M$, and $u_i = L(z_i)$, $i = 1, \ldots, d$ is a basis in $\ker N$. Consider the space of vectors $v_i = M_y(z_i)$, $i = 1, \ldots, d$, a vector subspace in the same vector space $\ker N$.

Now we can define a $C(y)$-linear operator A by its action on basis vectors u_i:

$$A(u_i) = v_i \ , \ i = 1, \ldots, d \ ,$$

that is

$$\begin{tikzcd}
L: & z_i \arrow[r] & u_i \arrow[d, "A"] \\
M_y: & z_i \arrow[r] & v_i
\end{tikzcd}$$

Let $F = (f_1, \dots, f_d)^T$, $f_i \in C(y)$, $F \in R(y)^k$. Then using Theorem 4.1 we have

$$L(f_1 z_1 + \dots + f_d z_d) = (F + AF')u \ ,$$

where $F' = (f_1', \dots, f_d')^T$, and $u = (u_1, \dots, u_d)^T$. Consider system of linear ordinary differential equations

$$AF' = -F$$

If matrix A is not degenerate, then this system must have a non zero solution vector $\widetilde{F} = (\widetilde{f}_1, \dots, \widetilde{f}_d)^T$. Then

$$L(\widetilde{f}_1 z_1 + \dots + \widetilde{f}_k z_k) = 0 \ .$$

Since $\widetilde{f}_1 z_1 + \dots + \widetilde{f}_k z_k \in \ker L \cap \ker M$, then $\widetilde{f}_1 z_1 + \dots + \widetilde{f}_k z_k = 0$. But by construction, $z_1, \dots, z_d$ are linearly independent, and since $\widetilde{F} = (\widetilde{f}_1, \dots, \widetilde{f}_d)^T$ is a non-zero vector, then $\widetilde{f}_1 z_1 + \dots + \widetilde{f}_k z_k$ cannot be zero. Thus, we have a contradiction.

Thus, matrix A is degenerate, and must have a non-trivial kernel. This means that for some vector $\widetilde{u} \in \ker N$, $\widetilde{u} \neq 0$ we have $A\widetilde{u} = 0$. Since u_i, $i = 1, \dots, d$ is a basis in $\ker N$, then for some $\widetilde{t}_i \in C(y)$, $i = 1, \dots, d$, where not all $\widetilde{t}_i$ are zeros, we have

$$\widetilde{u} = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \widetilde{t}_i u_i \ .$$

Then

$$A\left(\widetilde{u}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \widetilde{t}_i v_i = 0 \ .$$

Then define

$$\widetilde{z} = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \widetilde{t}_i z_i \ .$$

Since not all $\widetilde{t}_i$ are zeros and $\{z_i\}$, $i = 1, \dots, d$ is a basis over $C(y)$ in $\ker M$, then $\widetilde{z} \neq 0$. Then

$$M_y\left(\widetilde{z}\right) = 0 \ ,$$

and $\widetilde{z} \in \ker M \cap \ker M_y$.

By Theorem 3.1 for some $M' \in K[D]$

$$M = M' \cdot \left(\partial_x - \widetilde{z}_x \widetilde{z}^{-1}\right) \ .$$

Now, notice that

$$M_y\left(\widetilde{z}\right) = \widetilde{z}_x + b\widetilde{z} = 0 \ ,$$

and thus,

$$b = -\widetilde{z}_x \widetilde{z}^{-1} \ ,$$

and, therefore,

$$M = M' \cdot M_y \ .$$

Consider $\widetilde{z} \in \ker M \cap \ker M_y$. Then (21) and (23) imply that $\widetilde{z} \in \ker N \cap \ker N_y$. With an argument analogous to the one made for factoring M, we can show that likewise we have a factorization of N:

$$N = N' \cdot N_y$$

for some $N \in K[D]$.

In this case we can do the following re-writing:

$$\begin{aligned} N \cdot L &= L_1 \cdot M \quad \text{from (21)} \\ N' \cdot N_y \cdot L &= L_1 \cdot M' \cdot M_y \\ N' \cdot L' \cdot M_y &= L_1 \cdot M' \cdot M_y \quad \text{from (23)} \\ (N' \cdot L' - L_1 \cdot M') \cdot M_y &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Since M_y is surjective, then $N' \cdot L' - L_1 \cdot M' = 0$. □

5 Main Result and Consequences

Theorems (3.2) and (4.3) together imply the following statements.

Theorem 5.1. *Let for operator*

$$L = \partial_x \partial_y + a\partial_x + b\partial_y + c \ , \tag{27}$$

where $a, b, c \in K$, there be a Darboux transformation generated by $M \in K[\partial_x, \partial_y]$ of arbitrary form and of arbitrary order d. Then the Darboux transformation can be represented as consecutive application of first-order Darboux transformations.

Remark and Theorem implies the following theorem, which is the main result of the present paper.

Theorem 5.2. *For two dimensional Schrodinger operator* (2) *a Darboux transformation can be represented as consecutive application of first-order Darboux transformations.*

A Darboux transformation $L \xrightarrow{M} L_1$ is called *invertible* [She13a] if the corresponding mapping of the linear vector spaces:

$$\ker L \to \ker L_1 : \quad w \mapsto M(w) \ .$$

is an isomorphism, that is if

$$\ker L \cap \ker M = \{0\} \ .$$

Darboux transformations generated by M constructed using formulas (8) are not invertible.

Theorem 5.3. *A Darboux transformation of* (27) *generated by* $M \in K[\partial_x, \partial_y]$ *of arbitrary form and of arbitrary order* d *is invertible if and only if it is a composition of Laplace transformations.*

Proof. For Darboux transformations generated by (19) the statement is an implication of Theorem 5.

As it is mentioned in Sec. 2 we can transform a Darboux transformation of L generated by M of arbitrary form to a Darboux transformation of L generated by M of the form (19) by means of an operation that we call *expansion* and by factoring out Laplace transformations. In the first case the "new" M has the form $M + AL$, where $A \in K[\partial_x, \partial_y]$. Obviously,

$$\ker L \cap \ker M = \{0\}$$

if and only if

$$\ker L \cap \ker (M + AL) = \{0\}\ .$$

on the other hand, for operators M_x and M_y generating Laplace transformations

$$\ker L \cap \ker M_x = \{0\} \quad \text{and} \quad \ker L \cap \ker M_y = \{0\}\ .$$

□

References

[ACA10] Adrian Ankiewicz, Peter A Clarkson, and Nail Akhmediev. Rogue waves, rational solutions, the patterns of their zeros and integral relations. *J.Phys.A*, 43(12), 2010.

[Adl93] V.E. Adler. Recuttings of polygons. *Functional Analysis and Its Applications*, 27(2):141–143, 1993.

[ASCA10] Adrian Ankiewicz, J. M. Soto-Crespo, and Nail Akhmediev. Rogue waves and rational solutions of the Hirota equation. *Phys. Rev. E*, 81(4):046602, Apr 2010.

[BS95] V.G. Bagrov and B.F. Samsonov. Darboux transformation, factorization, and supersymmetry in one-dimensional quantum mechanics. *Theoretical and Mathematical Physics*, 104(2):1051–1060, 1995.

[BS97] V. G. Bagrov and B. F. Samsonov. Darboux transformation of the Schrödinger equation. *Physics of Particles and Nuclei*, 28(4):374–397, 1997.

[Cie09] J. Cieslinski. Algebraic construction of the Darboux matrix revisited. *J. Math. Phys.*, 42(40), 2009.

[Dar89] G. Darboux. *Leçons sur la théorie générale des surfaces et les applications géométriques du calcul infinitésimal*, volume 2. Gauthier-Villars, 1889.

[GMN10] P.G. Grinevich, A.E. Mironov, and S.P. Novikov. 2D-Schrodinger Operator, (2+1) evolution systems and new reductions, 2D-Burgers hierarchy and inverse problem data. *Russian Mathematical Surveys*, 65(3):580–582, 2010.

[LN10] C. X. Li and J. J. C. Nimmo. Darboux transformations for a twisted derivation and quasideterminant solutions to the super KdV equation. *Proceedings of the Royal Society A-Mathematical Physical and Engineering Sciences*, 466(2120):2471–2493, 2010.

[MS91] V.B. Matveev and M.A. Salle. *Darboux transformations and solitons.* Springer-Verlag Berlin., 1991.

[NV97] SP Novikov and AP Veselov. Exactly solvable two-dimensional Schrödinger operators and Laplace transformations. *Translations of the American Mathematical Society-Series 2*, 179:109–132, 1997.

[Sha95] A.B. Shabat. On the theory of Laplace-Darboux transformations. *Theoretical and Mathematical Physics*, 103(1):482–485, 1995.

[She12] E. Shemyakova. Laplace transformations as the only degenerate Darboux transformations of first order. *Programming and Computer Software (Russian Academy of Science)*, 38(2):105–108, 2012. S.Abramov, S.Tsarev eds.

[She13a] E. Shemyakova. Invertible Darboux transformations. *Symmetry, Integrability and Geometry: Methods and Applications (SIGMA)*, 2013. Accepted, http://arxiv.org/abs/1210.0803.

[She13b] E. Shemyakova. Proof of the Completeness of Darboux Wronskian Formulas for Order Two. *Canadian Journal of Mathematics*, 65(3):655–674, 2013. http://dx.doi.org/10.4153/CJM-2012-026-7.

[TS09] S.P. Tsarev and E. Shemyakova. Differential transformations of parabolic second-order operators in the plane. *Proceedings of the Steklov Institute of Mathematics. Dedicated to Academician Sergei Petrovich Novikov on the occasion of his 70th birthday*, 266(1):219–227, 2009. http://arxiv.org/abs/0811.1492.

[Tsa00] S. Tsarev. Factorization of linear partial differential operators and Darboux' method for integrating nonlinear partial differential equations. *Theo. Math. Phys.*, 122:121–133, 2000.

[Ves03] A.P. Veselov. Yang-Baxter maps and integrable dynamics. *Physics Letters A*, 314(3):214–221, 2003.

[VS93] A. P. Veselov and A. B. Shabat. Dressing chains and the spectral theory of the Schrödinger operator. *Functional Analysis and Its Applications*, 27(2):81–96, 1993. 10.1007/BF01085979.